UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN

United States of America	ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL
v. CHRIS GATSON	Case No. 1:14-CR-157-RHB
Defendant	
After conducting a detention hearing under the Bail that the defendant be detained pending trial.	Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), I conclude that these facts require
Part I	– Findings of Fact
	ped in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and has previously been convicted of use that would have been a federal offense if federal jurisdiction had
a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. which the prison term is 10 years or more.	§ 3156(a)(4), or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for
an offense for which the maximum sentence	e is death or life imprisonment.
an offense for which a maximum prison ter	m of ten years or more is prescribed in:
U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable s any felony that is not a crime of violence bu a minor victim	arm or destructive device or any other dangerous weapon
<u>—</u>	ed while the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state
(3) A period of less than 5 years has elapsed since to offense described in finding (1).	he date of conviction defendant's release from prison for the
(4) Findings (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable properson or the community. I further find that defer	esumption that no condition will reasonably assure the safety of another and the not rebutted that presumption.
Altern	ative Findings (A)
✓ (1) There is probable cause to believe that the defen	dant has committed an offense
 ✓ for which a maximum prison term of ten ye Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. 801 under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c). 	
(2) The defendant has not rebutted the presumption will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance	established by finding (1) that no condition or combination of conditions ce and the safety of the community.
	ative Findings (B)
(1) There is a serious risk that the defendant will not	• •
 · · ·	langer the safety of another person or the community.
Part II – Statemen	t of the Reasons for Detention

I find that the testimony and information submitted at the detention hearing establishes by

clear and convincing evidence a preponderance of the evidence that:

Defendant Gatson has rebutted the statutory presumption regarding serious risk or flight, but not the presumption concerning danger to the community. Even without the presumption, there is clear and convincing evidence that Defendant Gatson poses a danger to the community and a serious risk of obstructing justice. On July 14, 2014, he was in a house with six ounces of cocaine. On September 11, 2014, he was in proximity to a toilet containing cocaine immediately after law enforcement heard the toilet flush. There is no condition or combination of conditions that will ensure the safety of the community.

Part III - Directions Regarding Detention

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or a designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or held in custody pending appeal. The defendant must be afforded a reasonable opportunity to consult privately with defense counsel. On order of United States Court or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility must deliver the defendant to the United States marshal for a court appearance.

Date:	September 17, 2014	Judge's Signature:	/s/ Phillip J. Green
		Name and Title:	Phillip J. Green, U.S. Magistrate Judge